



SPINE20 recommendations 2021: spine care for people's health and prosperity

Giuseppe Costanzo¹ · Bernardo Misaggi² · Luca Ricciardi¹ · Sami I. AlEissa³ · Koji Tamai⁴ · Fahad Alhelal⁵ · Yahya Alqahtani⁶ · Hana I. Alsobayel⁷ · Markus Arand⁸ · Massimo Balsano⁹ · Thomas R. Blatter¹⁰ · Marco Brayda-Bruno¹¹ · Jamiu O. Busari¹² · Marco Campello¹³ · Harvinder S. Chhabra¹⁴ · Francesco Ciro Tamburrelli¹⁵ · Pierre Côté¹⁶ · Bambang Darwono¹⁷ · Frank Kandziora¹⁸ · Giovanni A. La Maida¹⁹ · Eric J. Muehlbauer²⁰ · Raghava D. Mulukutla²¹ · Paulo Pereira²² · Shanmuganathan Rajasekaran²³ · Dominique A. Rothenfluh²⁴ · William J. Sullivan²⁵ · Eeric Truumees²⁶ · Edward J. Dohring²⁷ · Tim Pigott²⁸ · Ajoy P. Shetty²³ · Marco G. A. Teli²⁹ · Jeffrey C. Wang³⁰ · Christopher Ames³¹ · Johannes R. Anema³² · Anand Bang³³ · Kenneth M. C. Cheung³⁴ · Douglas P. Gross³⁵ · Scott Haldeman³⁶ · Salvatore Minisola³⁷ · Rajani Mullerpatan³⁸ · Stefano Negrini^{39,40} · Louis-Rachid Salmi⁴¹ · M. Silvia Spinelli⁴² · Adriaan Vlok⁴³ · Kwadwo P. Yankey⁴⁴ · Fabio Zaina⁴⁵ · Ahmed Alturkistany⁴⁶ · Jörg Franke⁴⁷ · Ulf R. Liljenqvist⁴⁸ · Michael Piccirillo²⁰ · Margareta Nordin⁴⁹

Received: 18 December 2021 / Revised: 18 December 2021 / Accepted: 19 March 2022 / Published online: 7 April 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Purpose The focus of SPINE20 is to develop evidence-based policy recommendations for the G20 countries to work with governments to reduce the burden of spine disease, and disability.

Methods On September 17–18, 2021, SPINE20 held its annual meeting in Rome, Italy. Prior to the meeting, the SPINE20 created six proposed recommendations. These recommendations were uploaded to the SPINE20 website 10 days before the meeting and opened to the public for comments. The recommendations were discussed at the meeting allowing the participants to object and provide comments.

Results In total, 27 societies endorsed the following recommendations. SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries: (1) to expand telehealth for the access to spine care, especially in light of the current situation with COVID-19. (2) To adopt value-based interprofessional spine care as an approach to improve patient outcomes and reduce disability. (3) To facilitate access and invest in the development of a competent rehabilitation workforce to reduce the burden of disability related to spine disorders. (4) To adopt a strategy to promote daily physical activity and exercises among the elderly population to maintain an active and independent life with a healthy spine, particularly after COVID-19 pandemic. (5) To engage in capacity building with emerging countries and underserved communities for the benefit of spine patients. (6) To promote strategies to transfer evidence-based advances into patient benefit through effective implementation processes.

Conclusions SPINE20's initiatives will make governments and decision makers aware of efforts to reduce needless suffering from disabling spine pain through education that can be instituted across the globe.

Keywords SPINE20 · G20 · Recommendation · Spine · Advocacy group

Introduction of SPINE20

Global demographic and health changes have led to a rapid increase in the number of people experiencing disability due to non-communicable diseases (NCDs) [1–3].

Musculoskeletal disorders are both predominant and the leading cause of disability within the NCDs group; this translates to 1.71 billion people affected, equating to 149 million years lived with disability [3]. Among all musculoskeletal disorders, spine pain is the leading cause of disability with more than half a billion individuals worldwide experiencing disability due to low back pain [1]. In 2017, the World Health Organization (WHO) launched the

✉ Koji Tamai
koji.tamai.707@gmail.com

Extended author information available on the last page of the article



Rehabilitation 2030 initiative to mobilize the global community and reduce the burden of disability [4].

Recently in 2019, four large spine care and research non-governmental organizations (EUROSPINE, the North American Spine Society, the German Spine Society, and the Saudi Spine Society) formed SPINE20, an advocacy group to bring global attention to spine disorders (Table 1). In 2020, the Italian Spine Society (SICV and GIS), the Indonesian Spine Society (ISS), and the Association of Spine Surgeons of India (ASSI) joined, which were the dominant societies of future G20 host countries. The main focus of SPINE20 is to develop evidence-based policy recommendations for the G20 countries to work with governments to reduce the burden of spine disease, disability, and injuries.

How can SPINE20 inform G20 nations?

The report from the May 21, 2021, G20 Global Health Summit summarized its support of these efforts in its statement: “We, the leaders of G20 and other states, in the presence of the heads of international and regional organizations meeting at the Global Health Summit in Rome, May 21, 2021, having shared our experience of the ongoing global COVID-19 pandemic, and welcoming relevant work in this regard.” The G20 Global Health Summit provided 16 recommendations related to the COVID pandemic and recognized the very damaging impact of the pandemic on progress toward achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG). In this document, the G20 group reaffirmed their commitment to achieving the goals to strengthen efforts to build back better (as in UNGA resolution, September 11, 2020) [5], and to the International Health Regulations 2005, which together will improve resilience and global health outcomes. [6]

As a newly formed advocacy group, SPINE20 is committed to working to facilitate the implementation of these recommendations. Specifically, SPINE20 recognizes the COVID-19 pandemic has led to a reduction in the availability and access of non-COVID-related surgical and rehabilitation care. Moreover, SPINE20 recognize that the burden of spine disorders in general, and low back pain in particular, will likely increase due to unmet rehabilitation needs and the growing number of people with “Long-COVID.” [7] This is particularly important because spine problems are the greatest contributor to disability and health expenditures globally. [8] Furthermore, low back pain affects most severely female, elderly, and low-income populations. [9]

The SPINE20 recommendations to the G20 group are meant to highlight deficiencies in prevention, education, access to spine care, and mitigate disability from spinal disorders in an effort to reduce the wave of disability that may follow the COVID-19 pandemic.

The recommendations are intended to benefit individuals with spine and low back ailments, thereby benefitting the community and ultimately the country adopting the recommendations. The world has different needs depending on the geographical location and socioeconomic status. One recommendation will not fit all. The potential solutions and strategic plans must be developed by the local health ministry with support from spine societies, public health officials, the communities, and the available care systems. The recommendations must be adapted and accepted by the cultural environment, have a positive human and economic impact, and finally must show progress over time.

SPINE20 domain concept

The concept indicates that all recommendations should be based on the specific domain that SPINE20 considered as critical for global improvement in spine health. In 2020, SPINE20’s first recommendations were created based on 11 domains including “Spinal disability,” “Prevention,” “Value-based care,” “Patient’s safety,” “Access to care,” “Education,” “Research and innovation,” “Pediatric,” “Aging spine,” “Spinal cord injury,” and “Low back pain.” In 2021, the Publication and Recommendation Committee decided to retain 5 domains from 2020 to 2021 including “Spinal disability,” “Value-based care,” “Access to care,” “Research and innovation,” and “Aging spine.” Additionally, this year the committee has decided to add two critical domains: “Implementation and outcomes” and “Building capacity.” There is a need to implement global outcomes to measure progress and to create and monitor benchmarks to improving spine care for governments. Building capacity means to create a sustainable environment to reduce disability and enhance spine health.

Recommendations and rationale proposed for SPINE20 2021

On September 17 and 18, 2021, SPINE20 held its second annual meeting in Rome, Italy, with the theme “Spine Care for People’s Health and Prosperity.” Before the meeting,

Table 1 The SPINE20 suggested multi-dimensional initiatives

Establish high educational standards for spine care providers that ensure quality care throughout the world
Invest in spine research that increases our knowledge to improve spine care globally
Adopt spine disability prevention strategies that lead to healthier populations
Improve the ability to address issues relative to the aging population with spine disorders through government policies and recommendations

the SPINE20 Scientific Committee and Publication and Recommendation Committee created six proposed Recommendations including their rationale. These recommendations were uploaded to the SPINE20 website (<https://spine20.org/event/>) 10 days before the second annual meeting and opened to the public for comments. Subsequently, the recommendations were discussed at the hybrid annual meeting September 18, 2021, allowing the participants to object and provide comments. Finally, 188 participants from 34 societies have approved with no objection the proposed SPINE20 recommendation statement for 2021. The recommendation paper, which are listed below with their respective rationale, was again reviewed and endorsed by 27 societies (Table 2). Recommendations acted upon by

SPINE20 participating societies will be tracked and presented at the upcoming SPINE20 meeting in Bali, Indonesia, (August 4–5, 2022) where the next G20 summit will take place. The following recommendations from the SPINE20 gathering in 2021 were endorsed (Fig. 1).

Table 2 The list of societies endorsed the SPINE20 2021 recommendations (December 19, 2021)

Society	Nations	SPINE20 recommendations
Asian spinal cord network	India	Under reviewing
Asociación Mexicana de Cirujanos de Columna	Mexico	Under reviewing
Association of spinal surgeons of Russia	Russia	Reviewed and endorsed
Association of spine surgeons of India	India	Reviewed and endorsed
Associazione dei Cavalieri Italiani del Sovrano Militare Ordine di Malta	Italy	Reviewed but not endorsed
Australian pain society	Australia	Reviewed and endorsed
Brazil spine society	Brazil	Reviewed and endorsed
Egyptian spine association	Egypt	Reviewed and endorsed
Egyptian spine study group	Egypt	Reviewed and endorsed
EUROSPINE	International	Reviewed and endorsed
Foundation of orthopedics and complex spine	Ghana	Under reviewing
German spine society	Germany	Reviewed and endorsed
Hellenic society spine surgeons	Greece	Reviewed and endorsed
Indonesia spine society	Indonesia	Reviewed and endorsed
International society on scoliosis orthopedic and rehabilitation treatment	International	Reviewed and endorsed
Inter-state council secretariat	India	Reviewed and endorsed
Italian society of physical and rehabilitation medicine	Italy	Under reviewing
Italian spine society (SICV and GIS)	Italy	Reviewed and endorsed
Japanese society for spine surgery and related research	Japan	Reviewed and endorsed
Middle East spine society	International	Reviewed and endorsed
North America spine society	USA	Reviewed and endorsed
Order of Malta	Italy	Under reviewing
Saudi association of neurological surgery	Saudi Arabia	Reviewed and endorsed
Saudi physical therapy association	Saudi Arabia	Reviewed and endorsed
Saudi spine society	Saudi Arabia	Reviewed and endorsed
Sociedad Ibero-latinoamericana de Columna	International	Reviewed and endorsed
Società Italiana di Ortopedia e Traumatologia	Italy	Reviewed and endorsed
Society for education, action and research in community health	India	Reviewed and endorsed
Society indian physiotherapy	India	Reviewed and endorsed
South african spine society	South Africa	Reviewed and endorsed
Spinal cord society	India	Reviewed and endorsed
Ukrainian spine society	Ukraine	Under reviewing
World federation of chiropractic	International	Reviewed and endorsed
World spine care	International	Reviewed and endorsed

SPINE20 Recommendations to G20 Rome, 2021

RECOMMENDATIONS




Fig. 1 SPINE20 Recommendations to G20 countries, 2021

Recommendation: research and innovation, access to care

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to expand telehealth for the access to spine care, especially in light of the current situation with COVID-19

Background

The COVID-19 pandemic has greatly affected life, health, and society worldwide [10]. Though the emergent countermeasures, including home confinement and lockdowns, were effective to some extent in preventing the spread of COVID-19 in the community, the prolongation of these countermeasures is also negatively affecting many aspects of people's lives, such as overall decreasing physical activity and daily exercise [11]. In addition, due to the pandemic, patients with spinal disorders are experiencing delays in timely access to needed care.

Problem

Many patients with spinal disease have lost access to care, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Potential solutions

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to expand telehealth for spine care, especially due to the current ongoing situation with COVID-19 [12]. By taking this measure, patients with spinal diseases can obtain timely advice toward alleviating pain and recognizing critical symptoms that need urgent care, and thus obtaining treatment in a timely manner [13]. Telehealth has not been widely adopted in the diagnosis and treatment of spine disease. This might reflect the inherent limitations of telehealth visits for performing physical examinations and demonstrating rehabilitation instructions, but also reflects the previous restrictions on billing for application of electronic health and mobile health, telehealth visits, and the need for technical troubleshooting during telehealth visits [14, 15]. Although before COVID there was a lack of any strong impetus to change our approach to patient care, there is now a critical ongoing need to provide alternative means of evaluating and treating patients affected by the pandemic. Hence, SPINE20 recommend that each G20 country urgently develop a system to support telehealth in this COVID-19 era in order to ensure access to care for patients with spinal diseases. We believe that economic evaluations of telehealth, including the resulting increased productivity of workers worldwide, will validate the benefits of telehealth spine care [16].

Recommendation: value-based care

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to adopt value-based interprofessional spine care as an approach to improve patient outcomes and reduce disability

Background

Lower back pain affects 80–90% of the adult population in both industrialized and emerging countries. Evidence suggests that 30% of affected individuals experience some disability and loss of function [3]. Prolonged pain leads to loss of function, loss of ability to work, poverty, and the loss of the ability to provide for oneself, one's family, and the community, and is often a primary cause for loss of quality-of-life, ability to function, and independent activity of daily living [17].

Problem

Due to its high prevalence and chronicity, spinal disease remains a leading cause of disability.

Potential solutions

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to understand that effectiveness in spine care occurs when different specialists work together, i.e., interprofessional care, using evidence-based methods to prescribe the most effective and efficient care for any given patient. By utilizing the varied education and experience of professionals from different specialties, patients are the ultimate benefactors [18]. Spine Care providers include primary care physicians, surgeons, physiatrists, physical therapists, occupational physicians/therapists/nurses, chiropractors, pain management specialists, psychologists, psychiatrists, social workers, orthotists, assistive technologists, vocational counselors, peer counselors, and others who can all contribute key insights that will optimize comprehensive care for patients [19]. Governments should encourage interprofessional care by providing incentives or fair payment paradigms that bring multiple perspectives to bear on any given patient.

Recommendation: spinal disability, access to care

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to facilitate access and invest in the development of a competent rehabilitation workforce to reduce the burden of disability related to spine disorders

Background

The COVID-19 pandemic has led to an unprecedented increase in unmet rehabilitation needs. Moreover, the prevalence of chronic spine pain and disability is likely to increase because of the growth in the number of individuals with “Long COVID” in which spine and bodily pain are frequently reported symptoms. Even if COVID is resolved, low back and neck pain will continue to be the main causes of disability globally and this puts a great burden on the health care systems and economic welfare of our societies [8]. However, most people who would benefit from rehabilitation for their back and neck pain cannot access these services because they are not available, are not affordable, or because of a shortage of health care providers in their community [3].

Problem

Despite significant health expenditures, the global burden of disability related to spine pain continues to grow and the COVID-19 pandemic has amplified this problem [20].

Potential solutions

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to facilitate and invest in the development of a competent rehabilitation workforce to reduce the burden of disability related to spine ailments. The health, well-being, and productivity of the population will benefit from: (1) Developing a rehabilitation workforce that can deliver high quality and value-based rehabilitation for people with spine pain; (2) Promoting the delivery of high quality and value-based rehabilitation for people with spine pain; and (3) Facilitating easy access to high quality and value-based rehabilitation aimed at returning injured workers to a productive life. The government efforts should target the timely delivery of quality rehabilitation to these individuals to promote population prosperity. The delivery and accessibility of rehabilitation services (including return-to-work interventions) that are supported by high-quality evidence must be prioritized.

Recommendation: aging spine

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to adopt a strategy to promote daily physical activity and exercises among the elderly population to maintain an active and independent life with a healthy spine, particularly after COVID-19 pandemic

Background

The degenerative spinal diseases occurring later in adult life have a significant impact on lifestyle expectations and activities of the elderly population [21]. In addition, osteoporotic vertebral fractures are major problems for the elderly population [22]. These conditions effect the role of the elderly in their family, and also effect local economic productivity.

Problem

The social and financial burden placed upon local communities and on the G20 countries by spinal degenerative diseases and osteoporotic vertebral fractures in the elderly is significantly increasing.

Potential solutions

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to adopt strategies to promote daily exercises among the elderly population to maintain an active and independent life with a healthy spine. Physical activity and exercises, proper nutrition, and a smoking-free lifestyle are proven to reduce the severity of osteoporosis and frailty of the trunk among the elderly, helping them to maintain the ability to ambulate and carry out daily activities [23]. Education for health care practitioners on prevention strategies for the aging population along with the concurrent education of the elderly population are the best tools to prevent degenerative changes and osteoporotic fractures that often lead to severe symptoms and disability [24]. Finally, a proper interprofessional treatment strategy for patients with osteoporosis and/or degenerative spinal diseases should be defined according to scientific evidence-based assessments, and these approaches must be subject to human and financial long-term outcome and cost analyses [25].

Recommendation: capacity building

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to engage in capacity building with emerging countries and underserved communities for benefit of spine patients

Background

Spinal problems are among the most frequent causes of loss of function and disability [17]. It is one of the major causes of significant loss of quality-of-life, especially in the underserved areas of the world. Ignorance, cultural and financial problems, and geographical and political impediments are essential factors that limit people's access to care and these factors deserve to be considered and discussed in detail [26]. It is crucial to understand the role of inadequate information and lack of awareness of the general public in preventing spinal diseases and spinal injuries [27, 28].

Problem

There is a poor standard of spine care in different parts of the world, especially in underserved communities or in emerging countries.

Potential solutions

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to increase spine care capacity-building in emerging countries and in all underserved communities [29]. To address the disproportionate distribution and access to optimal spine care, senior administrators and policymakers in developing and underserved countries need to network and create alliances with G20 countries, and to adopt specific strategies based on the best clinical practices of countries with robust spine health care systems [30]. Long-term, realistic, region-specific goals for the improvement in spine care need to be developed based on region-specific factors such as the local epidemiology, availability of resources, social beliefs, attitudes/mindset, and urban versus rural distribution of health services. Strategies to improve the standards of spine care also need to focus on cost-effective high-impact practices, locally relevant clinical guidelines, professional oversight, targeted education/professional training, organizational change, and dedicated research. More proactive collaboration and support from the G20 scientific communities, individually or in working groups, is needed to help build and promote autonomous local scientific bodies in underserved communities.

Recommendation: implementation and outcomes

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to promote strategies to transfer evidence-based advances into patient benefit through effective implementation processes

Background

Proving the effectiveness of a clinical approach is not always enough to guarantee its adoption by health services and health care professionals. It has always been a challenge to find the best way to enhance the incorporation of evidence-based practices and thereby increase their public health impact. The lack of a common language, agreement on transformative goals, an embedded evaluation process, common plans, and a shared agenda, in addition to the mentality of 'short-termism', are some of the main obstacles to translating evidence-based scientific discoveries into widespread patient benefit [31].

Problem

It is reported that evidence-based practices take an average of 17 years to be incorporated into routine general practice in health care, even though research constantly produces confirmed findings that can contribute to more effective and efficient health care. [32]

Potential solutions

SPINE20 calls upon the G20 countries to promote strategies that enhance the translation of the evidence-based discoveries into patient benefit at a global level through effective implementation processes. Addressing the gaps between knowledge and practice with efficient strategies should be a policy priority. [33, 34] A range of strategies is available to overcome these gaps. Stakeholder engagement, effectiveness studies, research synthesis, artificial intelligence, and mathematical modeling are some of the methods used by implementation scientists to identify strategies that embed evidence-based interventions into clinical practice and public health programs. While there is insufficient evidence to adequately support the use of some guideline implementation strategies, such as traditional educational strategies and guideline dissemination in isolation, there is convincing evidence in favor of the use of multifaceted interventions, interactive education, and clinical reminder systems for the effective implementation of clinical guidelines. [33] Furthermore, one of the most important aspects of the implementation process is economic evaluation. It is a crucial tool that always needs to be incorporated into the implementation

decision-making process for any adopted strategy because it has been found to be the predominant reason (or “excuse”) that change is not implemented. We need to further promote research on the costs and cost–benefit analyses of guideline implementation strategies, along with the other environmental, organization, and individual clinician factors that are associated with effective implementation strategies [33].

Conclusions

SPINE20 is created as an advocacy group for spine societies around the globe, and for governments, institutions, and other organizations to highlight evidence and valued-based spine care that can help prevent disability. Its recommendations are intended to reduce the burden of disease related to spinal disorders by engaging governing stakeholders in the development of evidence-based policies. SPINE20 can serve as a resource of expertise for local and/or global advisement to mitigate disability from spine ailments. It is the hope of the founding group that its advocacy will reduce needless suffering and costs from disabling pain through education about rehabilitation and other treatment modalities that can be instituted across the globe.

Author contributions SA, KT and MN led the drafting of this paper in collaboration with the other authors and were part of the team that coordinated the production of papers. GC, BM, LR and ED closely revised many sections. Thereafter all authors contributed to all sections of the paper and edited it for key intellectual content. All other authors have read and provided substantive intellectual comments to the draft and have approved the final version of the paper.

Funding No funding received.

Data availability The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest All authors declares that they have no conflict of interest.

Consent to participate All authors have read and approved the final version of the paper.

Consent for publication All authors give our consent for the publication of identifiable details to be published in the European Spine Journal.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated

otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Diseases GBD, Injuries C (2020) Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *Lancet* 396:1204–1222. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30925-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9)
2. Beard JR, Officer A, de Carvalho IA, Sadana R, Pot AM, Michel JP, Lloyd-Sherlock P, Epping-Jordan JE, Peeters G, Mahanani WR, Thiyagarajan JA, Chatterji S (2016) The World report on ageing and health: a policy framework for healthy ageing. *Lancet* 387:2145–2154. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)00516-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00516-4)
3. Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T (2021) Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the global burden of disease study 2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *Lancet* 396:2006–2017. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32340-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32340-0)
4. World Health Organization, Rehabilitation 2030: A Call for Action. <https://www.who.int/rehabilitation/rehab-2030-call-for-action/en/>
5. https://global-health-summit.europa.eu/rome-declaration_en. Global Health Summit, European Union
6. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241580496>. International Health Regulations (2005) Third Edition, World Health Organization
7. <https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/coronavirus-covid-19/long-term-effects-of-coronavirus-long-covid/>. Long-term effects of coronavirus (long COVID), NHS
8. Disease GBD, Injury I, Prevalence C (2018) Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet* 392:1789–1858. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)32279-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32279-7)
9. Hoy D, Bain C, Williams G, March L, Brooks P, Blyth F, Woolf A, Vos T, Buchbinder R (2012) A systematic review of the global prevalence of low back pain. *Arthritis Rheum* 64:2028–2037. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.34347>
10. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, Zhao X, Huang B, Shi W, Lu R, Niu P, Zhan F, Ma X, Wang D, Xu W, Wu G, Gao GF, Tan W (2020) A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med* 382:727–733. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001017>
11. Adams JG, Walls RM (2020) Supporting the health care workforce during the COVID-19 global epidemic. *JAMA* 323:1439–1440. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.3972>
12. Kolcun JPG, Ryu WHA, Traynelis VC (2020) Systematic review of telemedicine in spine surgery. *J Neurosurg Spine* 34(2):1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3171/2020.6.SPINE20863>
13. Satin AM, Shenoy K, Sheha ED, Basques B, Schroeder GD, Vaccaro AR, Lieberman IH, Guyer RD, Derman PB (2020) Spine patient satisfaction with telemedicine during the COVID-19 Pandemic: a cross-sectional study. *Global Spine J.* <https://doi.org/10.1177/2192568220965521>
14. Moss RJ, Sule A, Kohl S (2019) eHealth and mHealth. *Eur J Hosp Pharm* 26:57–58. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ejpharm-2018-001819>
15. Piche J, Butt BB, Ahmady A, Patel R, Aleem I (2021) Physical examination of the spine using telemedicine: a systematic review.

- Global Spine J 11:1142–1147. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2192568220960423>
16. Thakar S, Rajagopal N, Mani S, Shyam M, Aryan S, Rao AS, Srinivasa R, Mohan D, Hegde AS (2018) Comparison of telemedicine with in-person care for follow-up after elective neurosurgery: results of a cost-effectiveness analysis of 1200 patients using patient-perceived utility scores. *Neurosurg Focus* 44:E17. <https://doi.org/10.3171/2018.2.FOCUS17543>
 17. Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, Louw Q, Ferreira ML, Genevay S, Hoy D, Karppinen J, Pransky G, Sieper J, Smeets RJ, Underwood M, Working LLBPSG (2018) What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. *Lancet* 391:2356–2367. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)30480-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30480-X)
 18. Kwon B, Tromanhauser SG, Banco RJ (2007) The spine service line: optimizing patient-centered spine care. *Spine* 32(Supplement):S44–S48. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e318053d491>
 19. Lessing NL, Zuckerman SL, Lazaro A, Leech AA, Leidinger A, Rutabasibwa N, Shabani HK, Mangat HS, Hartl R (2020) Cost-effectiveness of operating on traumatic spinal injuries in low-middle income countries: a preliminary report from a major east african referral center. *Global Spine J*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2192568220944888>
 20. Deer TR, Sayed D, Pope JE, Chakravarthy KV, Petersen E, Moeschler SM, Abd-Elseyed A, Amirdelfan K, Mekhail N, Workgroup AC (2020) Emergence from the COVID-19 pandemic and the care of chronic pain: guidance for the interventionalist. *Anesth Analg* 131:387–394. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000005000>
 21. Gibbs JC, MacIntyre NJ, Ponzano M, Templeton JA, Thabane L, Papaioannou A, Giangregorio LM (2019) Exercise for improving outcomes after osteoporotic vertebral fracture. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008618.pub3>
 22. Cauley JA, Hochberg MC, Lui LY, Palermo L, Ensrud KE, Hillier TA, Nevitt MC, Cummings SR (2007) Long-term risk of incident vertebral fractures. *JAMA* 298:2761–2767. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.298.23.2761>
 23. Sherrington C, Fairhall NJ, Wallbank GK, Tiedemann A, Michaleff ZA, Howard K, Clemson L, Hopewell S, Lamb SE (2019) Exercise for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012424.pub2>
 24. Salmi LR, Coureau G, Bailhache M, Mathoulin-Pelissier S (2016) To screen or not to screen: reconciling individual and population perspectives on screening. *Mayo Clin Proc* 91:1594–1605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.07.017>
 25. Shamji MF, Goldstein CL, Wang M, Uribe JS, Fehlings MG (2015) Minimally invasive spinal surgery in the elderly: does it make sense? *Neurosurgery* 77(Suppl 4):S108–115. <https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0000000000000941>
 26. Brady O, Nordin M, Hondras M, Outerbridge G, Kopansky-Giles D, Cote P, da Silva S, Ford T, Eberspaecher S, Acaroglu E, Mmopelwa T, Hurwitz EL, Haldeman S (2016) Global forum: spine research and training in underserved, low and middle-income, culturally unique communities: the world spine care charity research program’s challenges and facilitators. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 98:e110. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.16.00723>
 27. Chou R, Cote P, Randhawa K, Torres P, Yu H, Nordin M, Hurwitz EL, Haldeman S, Cedraschi C (2018) The global spine care initiative: applying evidence-based guidelines on the non-invasive management of back and neck pain to low- and middle-income communities. *Eur Spine J* 27:851–860. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-017-5433-8>
 28. Oña A, Strøm V, Lee B-S, Le Fort M, Middleton J, Gutenbrunner C, Barzallo DP (2021) Health inequalities and income for people with spinal cord injury: a comparison between and within countries. *SSM Popul Health* 15:100854. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2021.100854>
 29. Jackson T, Thomas S, Stabile V, Han X, Shotwell M, McQueen K (2015) Prevalence of chronic pain in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 385(Suppl 2):S10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)60805-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60805-4)
 30. Haldeman S, Nordin M, Outerbridge G, Hurwitz EL, Hondras M, Brady O, Kopansky-Giles D, Ford T, Acaroglu E (2015) Creating a sustainable model of spine care in underserved communities: the world spine care (WSC) charity. *Spine J* 15:2303–2311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2015.06.046>
 31. Rapport F, Clay-Williams R, Churruca K, Shih P, Hogden A, Braithwaite J (2018) The struggle of translating science into action: foundational concepts of implementation science. *J Eval Clin Pract* 24:117–126. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jep.12741>
 32. Westfall JM, Mold J, Fagnan L (2007) Practice-based research: “Blue Highways” on the NIH roadmap. *JAMA* 297:403–406. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.297.4.403>
 33. Prior M, Guerin M, Grimmer-Somers K (2008) The effectiveness of clinical guideline implementation strategies: a synthesis of systematic review findings. *J Eval Clin Pract* 14:888–897. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2008.01014.x>
 34. Grimshaw J, Thomas R, MacLennan G, Fraser C, Ramsay C, Vale L, Whitty P, Eccles M, Matowe L, Shirran L, Wensing M, Dijkstra R, Donaldson C (2004) Effectiveness and efficiency of guideline dissemination and implementation strategies. *Health Technol Assess*. <https://doi.org/10.3310/hta8060>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Giuseppe Costanzo¹ · Bernardo Misaggi² · Luca Ricciardi¹ · Sami I. AlEissa³ · Koji Tamai⁴  · Fahad Alhelal⁵ · Yahya Alqahtani⁶ · Hana I. Alsobayel⁷ · Markus Arand⁸ · Massimo Balsano⁹ · Thomas R. Blatter¹⁰ · Marco Brayda-Bruno¹¹ · Jamiu O. Busari¹² · Marco Campello¹³ · Harvinder S. Chhabra¹⁴ · Francesco Ciro Tamburrelli¹⁵ · Pierre Côté¹⁶ · Bambang Darwono¹⁷ · Frank Kandziora¹⁸ · Giovanni A. La Maida¹⁹ · Eric J. Muehlbauer²⁰ · Raghava D. Mulukutla²¹ · Paulo Pereira²² · Shanmuganathan Rajasekaran²³ · Dominique A. Rothenfluh²⁴ · William J. Sullivan²⁵ · Eric Truumees²⁶ · Edward J. Dohring²⁷ · Tim Pigott²⁸ · Ajoy P. Shetty²³ · Marco G. A. Teli²⁹ · Jeffrey C. Wang³⁰ · Christopher Ames³¹ · Johannes R. Anema³² · Anand Bang³³ · Kenneth M. C. Cheung³⁴ · Douglas P. Gross³⁵ · Scott Haldeman³⁶ · Salvatore Minisola³⁷ · Rajani Mullerpatan³⁸ · Stefano Negrini^{39,40} · Louis-Rachid Salmi⁴¹ · M. Silvia Spinelli⁴² · Adriaan Vlok⁴³ · Kwadwo P. Yankey⁴⁴ · Fabio Zaina⁴⁵ · Ahmed Alturkistany⁴⁶ · Jörg Franke⁴⁷ · Ulf R. Liljenqvist⁴⁸ · Michael Piccirillo²⁰ · Margareta Nordin⁴⁹

¹ Sapienza Rome University, Rome, Italy

² Orthopaedic Institute Gaetano Pini-CTO, Milan, Italy

³ National Guard Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁴ Department of Orthopedics, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka, Japan

⁵ National Guard Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁶ Presidency of State Security, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁷ King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁸ Medical Faculty, University of Ulm, Ulm, Baden-Württemberg, Germany

⁹ University and Hospital Trust of Verona, Verona, Italy

¹⁰ Schwarzach Orthopaedic Clinic, Schwarzach, Germany

¹¹ IRCCS Orthopedic Institute Galeazzi, Milano, Italy

¹² Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

¹³ New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

¹⁴ Indian Spinal Injuries Center, New Delhi, India

¹⁵ Orthopedic Catholic University, Rome, Italy

¹⁶ Ontario Tech University, Oshawa, ON, Canada

¹⁷ Gading Pluit Hospital, North Jakarta, Jakarta, Indonesia

¹⁸ Center for Spinal Surgery and Neurotraumatology, Frankfurt, Germany

¹⁹ Spine Surgery Department, Orthopedic Institute Gaetano Pini, Milan, Italy

²⁰ North American Spine Society, Chicago, USA

²¹ Udaionni Hospital, Hyderabad, India

²² University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

²³ Ganga Hospital, Coimbatore, India

²⁴ Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK

²⁵ Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, USA

²⁶ University of Texas, Austin, USA

²⁷ Spine Institute of Arizona, Scottsdale, AZ, USA

²⁸ EUROSPINE, Zurich, Switzerland

²⁹ Walton Centre NHS Trust, Liverpool, UK

³⁰ University of Southern California Spine Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA

³¹ University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

³² Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³³ Society for Education, Action and Research in Community Health, Gadchiroli, Maharashtra, India

³⁴ The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

³⁵ University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

³⁶ University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

³⁷ Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy

³⁸ Mahatma Gandhi Mission Institute of Health Sciences, Navi Mumbai, India

³⁹ University La Statale, Milan, Italy

⁴⁰ IRCCS Istituto Ortopedico Galeazzi, Milan, Italy

⁴¹ Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

⁴² Gaetano Pini Institute, Milano, Italy

⁴³ Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa

⁴⁴ FOCOS Orthopaedic Hospital, Accra, Ghana

⁴⁵ ISICO (Italian Scientific Spine Institute), Milan, Italy

⁴⁶ King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

⁴⁷ Klinikum Magdeburg gGmbH, Magdeburg, Germany

⁴⁸ St. Franziskus-Hospital, Münster, Germany

⁴⁹ New York University, New York, NY, USA